

# Lecture notes on topological insulators

Ming-Che Chang

Department of Physics,  
National Taiwan Normal University, Taipei,  
Taiwan

(Dated: February 16, 2025)

## CONTENTS

|                                        |   |
|----------------------------------------|---|
| I. Weyl semimetal                      | 1 |
| A. Classification of Weyl node         | 1 |
| B. Linear Weyl node                    | 2 |
| 1. Multiplet of nodes due to symmetry  | 2 |
| C. From Dirac to Weyl                  | 3 |
| D. The Burkov-Balents multilayer model | 4 |
| 1. Fermi arc of surface states         | 6 |
| 2. Property of Fermi arc               | 7 |
| E. Beyond linear Weyl point            | 7 |
| References                             | 8 |

## I. WEYL SEMIMETAL

There are two important types of nodal points in 3D. One is the point degeneracy between two energy levels, the other is the point degeneracy between four energy levels, see Fig. 1. To distinguish between them, from now on we call the former a **Weyl point**, and the latter a **Dirac point**. In this and the following chapter, we will study the Weyl point.

### A. Classification of Weyl node

The Hamiltonian near a Weyl point can be written as

$$H = \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\mathbf{k}$  is the momentum away from the node. If the components of  $\mathbf{d}$  are all linear in  $\mathbf{k}$ , then we call it a **linear Weyl node**. If at least one of the components of  $\mathbf{d}$  is quadratic in  $\mathbf{k}$ , then we call it a **quadratic Weyl node**, and so on.




FIG. 1 (a) A Weyl point between two levels. (b) A Dirac point between 2 double-degenerate levels.




FIG. 2 The textures of  $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{k})$  of two Weyl points with opposite helicities. The figures are from somewhere on the web.

The **topological charge** (or Berry index) of a node is given by the first Chern number (see Sec. ??),

$$Q_T = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^2_{\mathbf{k}}} d^2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{F}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$F_k = \frac{1}{2d^3} \mathbf{d} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{d}}{\partial k_i} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{d}}{\partial k_j}. \quad (1.3)$$

The integral is over a constant-energy surface with fixed  $|\mathbf{d}|$ , and  $i, j, k$  are in cyclic order. We learned in Sec ?? that the integrand is just (half of) the solid angle of the image  $f : S^2_{\mathbf{k}} \rightarrow S^2_{\mathbf{d}}$ , thus  $Q_T$  is the winding number of the map.

For example, consider

$$H = \pm \mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \quad (1.4)$$

the textures of  $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{k}) = \pm \mathbf{k}$  around the nodal point are shown in Fig. 2. It is obvious that the winding numbers, and hence the topological charges, are  $\pm 1$ . The sign  $\pm$  is called the **helicity** (or **chirality**) of the Weyl point.

For a general linear node, its topological charge remains to be  $+1$  or  $-1$ . Its sign can be determined by the sign of the Jacobian of the map  $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{k})$ ,

$$Q_T = \text{sgn} \left| \frac{\partial d_i}{\partial k_j} \right|, \quad (1.5)$$

which is the same for every point  $\mathbf{k}$ . The sign simply shows that whether the map preserves or reverses the orientation.

For a Weyl node with higher order, its topological charge can also be determined by the energy dispersion near the node. This is explained below (see the App. of [Chang and Yang, 2015](#)) : An image point  $\mathbf{d}^r$  is called a **regular point** if the Jacobian  $|\partial d_i^r / \partial k_j| \neq 0$ . A regular point can have none, or several pre-image points  $\mathbf{k}^{(\ell)}$ ,




FIG. 3 The winding number is determined by the degree of the map, which is the same irrespective of the direction one peeps.

$\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{k}^{(\ell)}) = \mathbf{d}^r, \ell = 1, \dots, N$ . The degree of the map  $f$  is defined as,

$$\deg f = \sum_{\ell=1}^N \text{sgn} \left( \left| \frac{\partial d_i}{\partial k_j} \right|_{\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{k}^{(\ell)}} \right). \quad (1.6)$$

It is equal to the winding number, and thus the topological charge  $Q_T = \deg f$ . **Brouwer's lemma** guarantees that  $\deg f$  is the same for every regular points. For an illustration of the winding number for a 1D loop around a point, see Fig. 3. Therefore, one can choose a convenient  $\mathbf{d}^r$  to calculate it. For more details, see Sec. 10.7 of [Felsager, 1998](#), or [Milnor, 1965](#).

For example, for the following quadratic Weyl node,

$$\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{k}) \simeq \left( \frac{k_y^2}{2} - \frac{k_x^2}{2}, k_x k_y, \pm k_z \right), \quad (1.7)$$

the Jacobian is

$$\left| \frac{\partial d_i}{\partial k_j} \right| = \mp (k_x^2 + k_y^2). \quad (1.8)$$

Choose  $\mathbf{d}_0 = (1/2, 0, 0)$ , then there are two pre-images,  $\mathbf{k}^{(1)} = (0, 1, 0)$  and  $\mathbf{k}^{(2)} = (0, -1, 0)$ . They contribute to  $Q_T = \mp 2$  in total. A node with  $|Q_T| = 2$  is called a **double Weyl node**.

If  $d_x(\mathbf{k})$  is changed to  $(k_x^2 + k_y^2)/2$ , then the topological charge would be 0. That is, not all quadratic Weyl nodes are double Weyl nodes.

## B. Linear Weyl node

In the following, we focus only on the linear Weyl node. Its gauge structure is similar to that of a magnetic monopole. For example, for the nodal point in Eq. (1.4), the Berry curvature is

$$\mathbf{F} = \mp \frac{1}{2} \frac{\hat{\mathbf{k}}}{k^2}, \quad (1.9)$$

which is the same as the magnetic field of a monopole. (Cf: Berry curvatures of various 2D systems in Sec. ??.)

TABLE I Counting Weyl nodes

| time-rev symm | space-inv symm | min number |
|---------------|----------------|------------|
| $\times$      | $\times$       | 2          |
| $\circ$       | $\times$       | 4          |
| $\times$      | $\circ$        | 2          |
| $\circ$       | $\circ$        | unstable   |

Once a Weyl node exists, it is stable against perturbations. Consider

$$H = \pm v \mathbf{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + H', \quad (1.10)$$

$H'$  is an arbitrary perturbation that can be expanded by Pauli matrices,

$$H' = a(\mathbf{k}) + \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \quad (1.11)$$

$$= a(\mathbf{k}) + \mathbf{b}(0) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \sum_j \frac{\partial \mathbf{b}}{\partial k_j} \bigg|_0 k_j + O(k^2). \quad (1.12)$$

It's obvious that the second term shifts the position of the node, the third renormalizes the velocity of the Weyl electron, but no gap is opened. That is, the Weyl point remains intact under an arbitrary perturbation. It could disappear *only by merging with another node with opposite topological charge*.

### 1. Multiplet of nodes due to symmetry

First, in *odd* spatial dimension, in the absence of space or time symmetry, massless lattice fermions are required to appear in pairs with opposite helicities. This is the **Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem** ([Nielsen and Ninomiya, 1981a,b](#)), or **fermion-doubling theorem** (see App. ??). A simple explanation is as follows ([Armitage et al., 2018](#)): If we surround a Weyl point at  $\mathbf{k}_0$  with a closed surface  $S$ , the the Berry flux through  $S$  is  $2\pi Q_T$ . We can inflate this surface  $S$  to cover the whole BZ. But because of the periodicity of the BZ,  $\partial BZ = 0$ . Hence the total Berry flux should be zero. This implies that there must be another Weyl point with an opposite topological charge inside the BZ.

We now consider one node with helicity  $+$  or  $-$ ,

$$H = \pm v(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}. \quad (1.13)$$

Under time-reversal transformation (if the pseudo-spin behaves like a spin),

$$\mathbf{k} \rightarrow -\mathbf{k}, \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma} \rightarrow -\boldsymbol{\sigma}. \quad (1.14)$$

So

$$H \rightarrow H' = \pm v(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}_0) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}. \quad (1.15)$$




FIG. 4 Band inversion with spin-orbit coupling could lead to topological insulator or Dirac/Weyl semimetal.

Therefore, if there is TRS, then there must be another nodal point at  $-\mathbf{k}_0$  with the same helicity.

Under space-inversion transformation,

$$\mathbf{k} \rightarrow -\mathbf{k}, \quad \sigma \rightarrow \sigma. \quad (1.16)$$

So

$$\mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}' = \mp v(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}_0) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}. \quad (1.17)$$

Therefore, if there is SIS, then there must be another nodal point at  $-\mathbf{k}_0$  with opposite helicity.

When both TR and SI symmetries exist, each node consists of two monopoles with opposite charges. The net topological charge of a nodal point (with 4 levels) is zero, and this Dirac node is not stable against perturbations (see Table I).

Note that when there is only SIS (but no TRS), then the minimum number of Weyl points in a solid is 2. If there is only TRS (but no SIS), then the minimum number is 4 (see Chap 7 of Meng, 2012), since TR-doublet would have a partner doublet with opposite helicity, as required by the Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem.

### C. From Dirac to Weyl

A Weyl point is topologically stable, but there is no systematic way to find it. On the other hand, a Dirac point is not protected by topology, but there is some guideline for discovering it. Therefore, we can first search for Dirac semimetal, then break TRS or SIS to generate Weyl points.

There are several ways to engineer or search for the Dirac semimetal (Armitage *et al.*, 2018). First, one can change the composition of a hybrid structure, or change the material composition of a compound to produce a




FIG. 5 Two examples of nonsymmorphic symmetry: (a) A glide plane consists of a mirror reflection (with respect to the mirror plane), and a translation the dotted line by  $1/2$  primitive lattice vector. (b) A screw axis consists of a  $\pi$ -rotation around the axis, and a translation along the axis by  $1/2$  primitive lattice vector.

phase transition between a normal insulator and a topological insulator. At the critical point, the energy gap is closed and we could have the degenerate point. More details can be found in next Sec.

Second, we can rely on the mechanism of band inversion. Recall that in a TI, SOC would open a gap at the crossing point of inverted bands. However, if the two bands belong to different irreducible representations (IRs) of the space group of a crystal, then they would not couple with each other to open a gap (Fig. 4). This symmetry-protected degenerate point often occurs along a symmetry axis. Several Dirac materials belong to this class, such as alkali pnictides  $A_3B$  ( $A=\text{Na, K, Rb ...}$ ,  $B=\text{As, Sb, Bi ...}$ ), and  $Cd_3As_2$ .

Before introducing the third way of search, some knowledge of space group is required:

1) In a BZ, certain  $\mathbf{k}$ -points can be invariant (mod reciprocal lattice vector  $\mathbf{G}$ ) under some symmetry operations of a space group. A subgroup  $G_{\mathbf{k}}$  of the space group of a crystal that leaves  $\mathbf{k}$  invariant is called a **little group**. This  $\mathbf{k}$ -point cannot be inside the Brillouin zone (except the origin), but is located at some high symmetry point on a BZ surface.

2) Space groups can be divided into two classes: If, apart from lattice translation, all symmetry operations leave one point fixed, then the space group is **symmorphic**. If a fraction of primitive lattice translation is involved in symmetry operations, then the space group is **nonsymmorphic**. There are two new types of symmetry operations in nonsymmorphic space groups: **glide planes** or **screw axes** (Fig. 5). There are 157 nonsymmorphic space groups out of 230 space groups.

To find a Dirac semimetal, we can look for materials with a little group  $G_{\mathbf{k}}$  that has four-dimensional IR (FDIR) at some high symmetry  $\mathbf{k}$ -point. Such a possibility can be excluded for any symmorphic group in 3D.




FIG. 6 Different patterns of level splitting near a Dirac point.

Therefore, one only need to search within crystals with nonsymmorphic symmetry. At that high-symmetry  $\mathbf{k}$ -point, 4 levels would cross each other to form a Dirac point. These points can be located on the face, edge, or corner of a BZ surface.

The analysis based on 4-state Hamiltonians in previous Chap, with the addition of crystal symmetry (not discussed there), is relevant to the crossing of 4 levels near a Dirac point. There are several possible patterns of the crossing, as shown in Fig. 6. For the band-inversion mechanism, the space inversion operator  $\Pi$  can be 1 or  $\tau_z$ . However, if  $\Pi = \tau_x$ , then it is an exchange of orbital degree of freedom, which can be associated with the exchange of sublattices produced by a non-primitive translation in a nonsymmorphic space group (Armitage *et al.*, 2018).

After having the Dirac semimetal, one can break the TRS or the SIS to obtain the Weyl semimetal. The candidates for the former case includes, e.g., *GdPtBi*, and some magnetic Heusler compounds. For the latter case, we have *WTe<sub>2</sub>*, *MoTe<sub>2</sub>*, *RhSi*, and the *TaAs* family (Zhang *et al.*, 2021).

#### D. The Burkov-Balents multilayer model

Without diving into the subject of space group symmetry, here we introduce a simplified model proposed by Burkov and Balents (Burkov and Balents, 2011) to produce a Dirac/Weyl point. Consider a structure with alternating layers of normal insulators (NI) and topological insulators (TI) stacked along the  $z$ -axis (see Fig. 7). Coupling of the top and down surface states (SS) of a TI layer is written as  $t_s$ ; coupling of SS between nearest-neighbor TI layers is written as  $t_d$ .

When the intra-layer coupling is larger than the inter-layer coupling ( $t_s > t_d$ ), the whole structure is similar to a NI. On the other hand, when  $t_d > t_s$ , the whole structure is similar to a TI. By tuning the relative strength between  $t_s$  and  $t_d$ , one can induce a topological phase transition at certain critical value. At that value the bulk gap is expected to close, probably producing a point degeneracy.

However, from the analysis in previous section, we know that with both TRS and SIS, this degenerate (Dirac) point would be unstable. The degeneracy would be lifted when one of the symmetry is broken.

For one TI slab doped with magnetic elements, the Hamiltonian with the SS coupling is,

$$\mathbf{H} = v\tau_z \otimes (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \mathbf{k}_\perp) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}} + m1 \otimes \sigma_z + t_s \tau_x \otimes 1, \quad (1.18)$$

in which  $\boldsymbol{\tau}$  accounts for the up and down layers degree of freedom, and  $m$  is the magnetization. From now on we will drop the  $\otimes$  sign.

For multiple-layers, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{H}} = & \sum_l [v\tau_z(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \mathbf{k}_\perp) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}} + m\sigma_z + t_s\tau_x] c_l^\dagger c_l \\ & + \sum_l t_d(\tau_+ c_l^\dagger c_{l+1} + \tau_- c_l^\dagger c_{l-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (1.19)$$

where  $\tau_\pm = (\tau_x \pm i\tau_y)/2$ ,  $c_l = (c_{lu}, c_{ld})^T$  is a 2-component operator such that  $\tau_+ c_l^\dagger c_{l+1} = c_{lu}^\dagger c_{l+1d} \cdots$  etc.

Assume there are  $N$  (NI+TI)-layers with period  $d$ , and impose the periodic BC along  $z$ -axis. Using the Fourier transformation,

$$c_l^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k_z} e^{ildk_z} c_{k_z}^\dagger. \quad (1.20)$$

one has,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{H}} = & \sum_{k_z} \left[ v\tau_z(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \mathbf{k}_\perp) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}} c_{k_z}^\dagger c_{k_z} \right. \\ & + m\sigma_z c_{k_z}^\dagger c_{k_z} \\ & + t_s \tau_x c_{k_z}^\dagger c_{k_z} \\ & \left. + t_d(e^{-ik_z d} \tau_+ c_{k_z}^\dagger c_{k_z} + e^{ik_z d} \tau_- c_{k_z}^\dagger c_{k_z}) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (1.21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} = & \sum_{k_z} \begin{pmatrix} h_0 + m\sigma_z & t_s + t_d e^{-ik_z d} \\ t_s + t_d e^{ik_z d} & -h_0 + m\sigma_z \end{pmatrix} c_{k_z}^\dagger c_{k_z}, \\ \equiv & \sum_{k_z} \mathbf{H}_{k_z} c_{k_z}^\dagger c_{k_z}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.22)$$

where  $h_0 = v(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \mathbf{k}_\perp) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{k_z} = & \tau_z h_0 + m\sigma_z \\ & + t_s \tau_x + t_d(e^{-ik_z d} \tau_+ + e^{ik_z d} \tau_-). \end{aligned} \quad (1.23)$$

Each  $k_z$ -subsystem is independent of each other.

Under the unitary transformation (Burkov *et al.*, 2011),

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_z \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.24)$$

one has

$$\tau_{x,y} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}^\dagger \tau_{x,y} \mathbf{U} = \tau_{x,y} \sigma_z, \quad (1.25)$$

$$\sigma_{x,y} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}^\dagger \sigma_{x,y} \mathbf{U} = \tau_z \sigma_{x,y}. \quad (1.26)$$

$\tau_z$  and  $\sigma_z$  are not changed. After the transformation,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{k_z} = & h_0 + m\sigma_z \\ & + [t_s \tau_x + t_d(e^{-ik_z d} \tau_+ + e^{ik_z d} \tau_-)] \sigma_z. \end{aligned} \quad (1.27)$$




FIG. 7 A multi-layer structure with alternating layers of normal insulator (NI) and topological insulator (TI).




FIG. 8 (a) With both TRS and SIS, the critical line at  $t_s = t_d$  are composed of unstable Dirac points. (b) After breaking the TRS with magnetization  $m$ , a Dirac point in (a) separates to two Weyl points and there is a finite region of Weyl semimetal phase. The gapped phase on the lower left is a semi-quantum anomalous Hall phase. As  $m$  gets larger, the point marked with  $\times$  is first engulfed by the Weyl phase, then by the semi-QAH phase.

One can rotate the  $\tau$  on the 2nd line without changing the first line. Thus, the Hamiltonian is decomposed to 2 diagonal blocks,

$$H_{k_z} = h_0 + \underbrace{\left[ m + \tau_z \sqrt{t_s^2 + t_d^2 + 2t_s t_d \cos(k_z d)} \right]}_{M_{\tau_z}(k_z)} \sigma_z$$

$$= v(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \mathbf{k}_\perp) \cdot \hat{z} + M_{\tau_z}(k_z) \sigma_z, \quad (1.28)$$

where  $M_\pm(k_z)$  can be considered as the effective magnetization of the 2D electron gas in the  $k_z$ -layer.

Finally, the  $2 \times 2$ -blocks can be easily diagonalized to get the eigenvalues,

$$\varepsilon_\pm^{\tau_z} = \pm \sqrt{v^2(k_x^2 + k_y^2) + M_{\tau_z}^2(k_z)}. \quad (1.29)$$

Let  $m > 0$ , then  $M_+(k_z)$  is always positive, and  $\varepsilon_\pm^+$  has a finite gap. On the other hand,  $\varepsilon_\pm^-$  can be gapless if  $M_-(k_z) = 0$ , or

$$\cos(k_0 d) = \frac{m^2 - (t_s^2 + t_d^2)}{2t_s t_d}. \quad (1.30)$$

That is, if

$$\underbrace{|t_s - t_d|}_{m_{c1}} \leq m \leq \underbrace{|t_s + t_d|}_{m_{c2}}, \quad (1.31)$$




FIG. 9  $M_-(k_z)$  plotted as a function of  $k_z$ . The plot is rotated by 90 degrees.

then there are a pair of Weyl nodes at  $\pm k_0 \hat{z}$  (see Fig. 9).

If  $m < |t_s - t_d|$ , then Eq. (1.30) has no real solution, and  $M_-(k_z) < 0$ . If  $m > |t_s - t_d|$ , then Eq. (1.30) has no real solution, and  $M_-(k_z) > 0$ . For small  $m$ , the material is a trivial insulator. The pair of Weyl nodes appear at  $k_z d = \pi$  when  $m = m_{c1}$ . They move apart along the  $k_z$ -axis when  $m > m_{c1}$ , and merge with each other again at  $k_z = 0$  when  $m = m_{c2}$  (see Fig. 10). After that, the energy gap is re-opened, but the system becomes a non-trivial insulator (see Fig. 8(b)).

We now focus on the Weyl semimetal phase. As shown in Eq. (1.22), the system is composed of decoupled 2D sub-systems, each has their own  $k_z$ -layer of 2D BZ. Since the Weyl point is a 3D monopole, it has a string of gauge singularity (Dirac string). The location of the string is gauge dependent, but they should connect the two Weyl nodes (see Fig. 10). The 2D  $k_z$ -layers within  $|k_z| < k_0$  ( $M_-(k_z) < 0$ ) do not intersect with the gauge singularity, and the first Chern number  $C_1 = 0$ . On the other hand, the  $k_z$ -layers outside of that range would intersect with the Dirac string. At the point of intersection is a vortex singularity of the gauge potential. This leads to  $C_1 = 1$ , and  $\sigma_H^{2D}(k_z) = e^2/h$  for each of the 2D-subsystem. See Sec ?? for the discussion of the vortex in the BZ of a quantum Hall system.

As a result, the 3D Hall conductivity is

$$\sigma_H^{3D} = \frac{1}{L_z} \sum_{k_z} \sigma_H^{2D}(k_z)$$

$$= \int_{-\pi/d}^{\pi/d} \frac{dk_z}{2\pi} \sigma_H^{2D}(k_z) = \frac{e^2}{h} \frac{\bar{k}_0}{\pi}, \quad (1.32)$$

where  $\bar{k}_0 = \pi/d - k_0$  is half the length of the Dirac string.

When  $m = m_{c2}$ , the two nodes merge at  $k_z = 0$ , and the Dirac string spans the whole  $k_z$ -axis. After that, the system enters the semi-quantum anomalous Hall phase with

$$\sigma_H^{3D} = \frac{e^2}{h} \frac{1}{d}. \quad (1.33)$$

In a magnetic Weyl semimetal that breaks time-reversal




FIG. 10 A pair of Weyl points appear at  $k_z = \pi$  when  $m = m_{c1}$ . They stretch out a Dirac string (red dotted lines) at larger  $m$ , and finally merge with each other at  $m = m_{c2}$ , but leaving a full Dirac string behind.

symmetry, it is possible to have such an anomalous Hall state (Liu *et al.*, 2018).

Some of the Weyl semimetals proposed early are antiferromagnetic (that break TRS), such as the pyrochlore irridates  $A_2Ir_2O_7$  ( $A = Y, Eu, Nd...$ ). Other Weyl semimetal with antiferromagnetic order are  $Mn_3Sn, Mn_3Gn$ . Later it was found that some Heusler compounds are good candidates of magnetic Weyl semimetals. For example, the  $LnPtBi$  family ( $Ln = Gd, Nd ...$ ) of half-Heusler compound. Weyl nodes are also predicted to be common in cobalt-based magnetic Heusler compound, such as  $Co_2YZ$  ( $Y = V, Zr, Nb...; Z = Si, Ge, Ne...$ ), and  $Co_2MnAl$ , which have their Weyl points near Fermi energies. See Armitage *et al.*, 2018, Narang *et al.*, 2020 for more details. Furthermore, in the so-called **Kramer-Weyl semimetal**, the Weyl points are located at TRIMs. Therefore, the Fermi arcs span across the BZ (Chang *et al.*, 2018), and one could have the QAH state.

### 1. Fermi arc of surface states

Divide the space into two parts, with the magnetization

$$\begin{aligned} m(x) &< |t_s - t_d| \text{ for } x < 0, \text{ or } M_-(k_z) < 0 \text{ (NI)} \\ m(x) &> |t_s - t_d| \text{ for } x > 0, \text{ or } M_-(k_z) > 0 \text{ (WSM)} \end{aligned}$$

and  $m(x)$  increases monotonically from one side to the other. Ignoring the  $M_+(k_z)$  block. The remaining two-state Hamiltonian for a 2D sub-system is (see Eq. (1.28))

$$H_{k_z} = h_0 + \underbrace{\left[ m(x) - \sqrt{t_s^2 + t_d^2 + 2t_s t_d \cos(k_z d)} \right]}_{M_-^{k_z}(x)} \sigma_z. \quad (1.34)$$

Similar to the analysis of the edge states of the QWZ model in Chap. ??, first replace  $k_x$  by the differential




FIG. 11 Fermi arc of the SS in a 2D surface BZ. Five slices of the 1D edge BZ of the 2D  $k_z$ -subsystem are shown on the right.

operator  $\frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ . One then solves the following differential equation to find the edge state,

$$\begin{pmatrix} M_-^{k_z}(x) & v \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + k_y \right) \\ v \left( -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + k_y \right) & -M_-^{k_z}(x) \end{pmatrix} \phi_s^{k_z} = \varepsilon_s^{k_z}(k_y) \phi_s^{k_z}. \quad (1.35)$$

A trial solution that is localized near  $x = 0$  is,

$$\phi_s^{k_z}(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{v} \int_0^x dx' M_-^{k_z}(x')} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.36)$$

One can verify that it is indeed an eigenstate, with eigenvalue  $\varepsilon_s^{k_z}(k_y) = vk_y$ , which is linear in  $k_y$  and independent of  $k_z$ .

The energy dispersion of the surface states is a 2D surface in the 3D BZ. The surface BZ for the [100] surface is shown in Fig. 11, with 5 slices of the energy dispersion shown on the right. A 2D subsystem with  $C_1 = 0$  is a trivial 2D insulator, which has no edge state. A 2D subsystem with  $C_1 = 1$  is similar to a 2D quantum Hall system, which has chiral edge state. The electrons fill up to a Fermi point in its 1D edge BZ. By connecting these points from different  $k_z$ 's, one sees that the SS electrons of the Weyl semimetal would fill up to a Fermi line (aka **Fermi arc**, Wan *et al.*, 2011). For more details, see Yang *et al.*, 2011 and Okugawa and Murakami, 2014. Also see Potter *et al.*, 2014 for an illuminating analysis of the SS and the Fermi arc.

Experimentally, transition metal monopnictides such as  $TaAs$  (Lv *et al.*, 2015; Xu *et al.*, 2015b), and  $NbAs$  (Xu *et al.*, 2015a) are among the first to be confirmed as Weyl semimetals, and their Fermi arcs observed.




FIG. 12 (a) Weyl points from the bulk energy bands. (b) Energy dispersions of the bulk states and the surface states. (c) Two Fermi seas are connection by Fermi arcs. (d) At higher chemical potential, two Fermi seas merge as one. Fig from [Okugawa and Murakami, 2014](#).

## 2. Property of Fermi arc

Using a two-band model with a *pair* of Weyl nodes, one can explore some properties of the Fermi arc in details ([Okugawa and Murakami, 2014](#)). In Fig. 12(a), we see the energy dispersion near the Weyl points of the bulk states. If the Weyl semimetal has boundaries, then there are 2D energy sheets of surface states stretching between two Weyl nodes (Fig. 12(b)). The SSs from the top and the bottom boundaries have opposite slopes along the  $k_y$  direction. When the chemical potential  $\mu$  is at the energy of the Weyl-point, it would cut through the 2D energy sheet of the SS, forming a Fermi arc between two Weyl points. This is consistent with the analysis in previous Section.

If  $\mu$  is away from, e.g., higher than, the energy of the Weyl points, then there are puddles of Fermi sea around the Weyl points. These puddles are connected by Fermi arcs (Fig. 12(c)). Furthermore, the Fermi arc would be tangent to the edge of the Fermi sea ([Haldane, 2014](#)).

When  $\mu$  gets higher, the two puddles would eventually merge with each other (Fig. 12(d)). Such a change of the topology of the Fermi surface is sometimes referred to as a **Lifshitz transition**.

## E. Beyond linear Weyl point

A Weyl point with linear dispersion is the simplest form of the point degeneracy. Other types of degeneracy could also be related to topology and have some interesting physical effect. Here I list some of the generalizations beyond this simplest case:

1. Near a degenerate point, the energy dispersion can be quadratic, cubic, or of higher orders. This would generate higher topological charges, and multiple Fermi arcs between Weyl points.

2. The Dirac cone can be slightly slanted, or highly slanted to the degree that one Fermi level can cut through both cones. This is called **type-II Weyl fermion**.

3. Near a degenerate point, there could be a crossing between 3 levels (spin-1 Weyl fermion), 4 levels with nonzero topological charge (spin 3/2 Weyl fermion), or more.

4. Beyond point degeneracy, one could have nodal line, nodal loop, nodal chain (connected loops), linked nodal loops (no contact between loops), or nodal plane. In general, an electron circling a nodal line would acquire a Berry phase  $\pi$ .

Obviously, mixed types of degeneracy are also possible. For example, a three-level crossing with quadratic dispersion. There are numerous works exploring these possibilities. One may see [Lv et al., 2021](#) and the references therein for some more details.

## Exercise

1. Instead of breaking TRS, one can break the SIS of the Burkov-Balents model, for example, by unbalancing the top-bottom layers of the TI slabs. That is, by adding a term  $V_0\tau_z$  to the Hamiltonian ( $t_{s,d} > 0$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H} = & \tau_z h_0 + V_0 \tau_z \\ & + t_s \tau_x + t_d (e^{-ik_z d} \tau_+ + e^{ik_z d} \tau_-), \end{aligned} \quad (1.37)$$

where  $h_0 = v(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \mathbf{k}_\perp) \cdot \hat{z}$ .

- (a) Given the SI operator  $\Pi = \tau_x$ , show that the Hamiltonian with  $V_0 = 0$  has SIS,  $\Pi \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{k}) \Pi^{-1} = \mathbf{H}(-\mathbf{k})$ , while  $V_0$  breaks it.

- (b) Switch from the basis  $\boldsymbol{\tau} \otimes \boldsymbol{\sigma}$  to the basis  $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \otimes \boldsymbol{\tau}$ , perform a rotation in  $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ -space to block-diagonalize the Hamiltonian, then find out the eigenvalues  $\varepsilon_\pm^{\sigma_z}$  of  $\mathbf{H}$ .

2. Following Prob. 1, (a) show that when  $t_s = t_d$ , the middle two bands touch at a circle of line degeneracy at  $k_z = \pi/d$ . Such a degeneracy requires the fine-tuning of  $t_s$  and  $t_d$ , and therefore is not robust.

- (b) Break the rotational symmetry around  $k_z$  by having

$$t_{s,d} = t_{s,d}^0 + t'_{s,d} k_x^2 \quad (1.38)$$

$$= t_{s,d}^0 + t'_{s,d} k_\perp^2 \cos^2 \theta. \quad (1.39)$$

Show that, when  $t_s = t_d$ , there are point degeneracies at

$$\cos 2\theta = \frac{2(t_s^0 - t_d^0)}{k_\perp^2 (t'_d - t'_s)} - 1. \quad (1.40)$$

- (c) The multilayer structure is a normal insulator when  $t_s^0 > t_d^0$ . Assume  $t'_s > t'_d$ , decrease the value of  $t_s^0$  and investigate the creation and annihilation of Weyl points. (Ref: [Halász and Balents, 2012](#))

## REFERENCES

- Armitage, N P, E. J. Mele, and Ashvin Vishwanath (2018), “Weyl and dirac semimetals in three-dimensional solids,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **90**, 015001.
- Burkov, A A, and Leon Balents (2011), “Weyl semimetal in a topological insulator multilayer,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 127205.
- Burkov, A A, M. D. Hook, and Leon Balents (2011), “Topological nodal semimetals,” *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 235126.
- Chang, Guoqing, Benjamin J. Wieder, Frank Schindler, Daniel S. Sanchez, Ilya Belopolski, Shin-Ming Huang, Bahadur Singh, Di Wu, Tay-Rong Chang, Titus Neupert, Su-Yang Xu, Hsin Lin, and M. Zahid Hasan (2018), “Topological quantum properties of chiral crystals,” *Nature Materials* **17** (11), 978–985.
- Chang, Ming-Che, and Min-Fong Yang (2015), “Chiral magnetic effect in the absence of weyl node,” *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 205201.
- Felsager, B (1998), *Geometry, particles and fields* (Springer-Verlag, New York).
- Halász, Gábor B, and Leon Balents (2012), “Time-reversal invariant realization of the weyl semimetal phase,” *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 035103.
- Haldane, F D M (2014), “Attachment of surface ”fermi arcs” to the bulk fermi surface: ”fermi-level plumbing” in topological metals,” [arXiv:1401.0529 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1401.0529).
- Liu, Enke, Yan Sun, Nitesh Kumar, Lukas Muechler, Aili Sun, Lin Jiao, Shuo-Ying Yang, Defa Liu, Aiji Liang, Qian Xu, Johannes Kroder, Vicky Süß, Horst Borrmann, Chandra Shekhar, Zhaosheng Wang, Chuanying Xi, Wenhong Wang, Walter Schnelle, Steffen Wirth, Yulin Chen, Sebastian T. B. Goennenwein, and Claudia Felser (2018), “Giant anomalous hall effect in a ferromagnetic kagome-lattice semimetal,” *Nature Physics* **14** (11), 1125–1131.
- Lv, B Q, T. Qian, and H. Ding (2021), “Experimental perspective on three-dimensional topological semimetals,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **93**, 025002.
- Lv, B Q, H. M. Weng, B. B. Fu, X. P. Wang, H. Miao, J. Ma, P. Richard, X. C. Huang, L. X. Zhao, G. F. Chen, Z. Fang, X. Dai, T. Qian, and H. Ding (2015), “Experimental discovery of weyl semimetal taas,” *Phys. Rev. X* **5**, 031013.
- Meng, Tobias (2012), *Quantum critical matter: Quantum phase transitions with multiple dynamics and Weyl superconductors*, Ph.D. thesis (University of Köln).
- Milnor, John W (1965), *Topology from the differential viewpoint* (The University Press of Virginia, Charlottesville).
- Narang, Prineha, Christina A. C. Garcia, and Claudia Felser (2020), “The topology of electronic band structures,” *Nature Materials*.
- Nielsen, H B, and Masao Ninomiya (1981a), “Absence of neutrinos on a lattice: (i). proof by homotopy theory,” *Nuclear Physics B* **185** (1), 20.
- Nielsen, H B, and Masao Ninomiya (1981b), “Absence of neutrinos on a lattice: (ii). intuitive topological proof,” *Nuclear Physics B* **193** (1), 173.
- Okugawa, Ryo, and Shuichi Murakami (2014), “Dispersion of fermi arcs in weyl semimetals and their evolutions to dirac cones,” *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 235315.
- Potter, Andrew C, Itamar Kimchi, and Ashvin Vishwanath (2014), “Quantum oscillations from surface fermi arcs in weyl and dirac semimetals,” *Nature Communications* **5**, 5161.
- Wan, Xiangang, Ari M. Turner, Ashvin Vishwanath, and Sergey Y. Savrasov (2011), “Topological semimetal and fermi-arc surface states in the electronic structure of pyrochlore iridates,” *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 205101.
- Xu, Su-Yang, Nasser Alidoust, Ilya Belopolski, Zhujun Yuan, Guang Bian, Tay-Rong Chang, Hao Zheng, Vladimir N. Strocov, Daniel S. Sanchez, Guoqing Chang, Chenglong Zhang, Daixiang Mou, Yun Wu, Lunan Huang, Chi-Cheng Lee, Shin-Ming Huang, BaoKai Wang, Arun Bansil, Horng-Tay Jeng, Titus Neupert, Adam Kaminski, Hsin Lin, Shuang Jia, and M. Zahid Hasan (2015a), “Discovery of a weyl fermion state with fermi arcs in niobium arsenide,” *Nat Phys* **11** (9), 748–754.
- Xu, Su-Yang, Ilya Belopolski, Nasser Alidoust, Madhab Neupane, Guang Bian, Chenglong Zhang, Raman Sankar, Guoqing Chang, Zhujun Yuan, Chi-Cheng Lee, Shin-Ming Huang, Hao Zheng, Jie Ma, Daniel S. Sanchez, BaoKai Wang, Arun Bansil, Fangcheng Chou, Pavel P. Shibayev, Hsin Lin, Shuang Jia, and M. Zahid Hasan (2015b), “Discovery of a weyl fermion semimetal and topological fermi arcs,” *Science* **349** (6248), 613–617.
- Yang, Kai-Yu, Yuan-Ming Lu, and Ying Ran (2011), “Quantum hall effects in a weyl semimetal: Possible application in pyrochlore iridates,” *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 075129.
- Zhang, Cheng, Yi Zhang, Hai-Zhou Lu, X. C. Xie, and Faxian Xiu (2021), “Cycling fermi arc electrons with weyl orbits,” *Nature Reviews Physics* **3** (9), 660–670.