Quantum Hall effect in a nutshell

(Ref: Kittel p.564-577)





Measurement of Hall resistance





2-dim electron gas

GaAs/AIGaAs heterojunction



Density of States



Quantum Hall resistance (von Klitzing, 1980)







 R_{xy} deviates from (h/e²)/n by less than 3 ppm on the very first report of the quantum Hall effect.

• This result is independent of the shape/size of sample.

• Different materials lead to the same effect (Si MOSFET, GaAs heterojunction...)

→ a very accurate way to measure : $\alpha^{-1} = h/e^2c \approx 137.036$ (unit-indep.)

 \rightarrow a very convenient resistance standard (later)

New Method for High-Accuracy Determination of the Fine-Structure Constant Based on Quantized Hall Resistance

K. v. Klitzing

Physikalisches Institut der Universität Würzburg, D-8700 Würzburg, Federal Republic of Germany, and Hochfeld-Magnetlabor des Max-Planck-Instituts für Festkörperforschung, F-38042 Grenoble, France

and

G. Dorda Forschungslaboratorien der Siemens AG, D-8000 München, Federal Republic of Germany

and

M. Pepper Cavendish Laboratory, Cambridge CB3 0HE, United Kingdom (Received 30 May 1980)

Measurements of the Hall voltage of a two-dimensional electron gas, realized with a silicon metal-oxide-semiconductor field-effect transistor, show that the Hall resistance at particular, experimentally well-defined surface carrier concentrations has fixed values which depend only on the fine-structure constant and speed of light, and is insensitive to the geometry of the device. Preliminary data are reported.

experiment $\alpha^{-1}(q. Hall) = 137.035\,997\,9(32)$ (0.024 ppm), $\alpha^{-1}(acJ) = 137.035\,977\,0(77)$ (0.056 ppm), $\alpha^{-1}(h/m_n) = 137.036\,010\,82(524)$ (0.039 ppm).

theory $\alpha^{-1}(a_e) = 137.035\,999\,44(57)$ (0.0042 ppm).

(Kinoshita, Phys. Rev. Lett. 1995)

Why there are plateaus?

- magnetic field -> Landau levels
- dirty interface -> electron localization -> plateaus



Quantum Hall effect requires

- 1. Two-dimensional electron gas
- 2. strong magnetic field (~ 10 Tesla)
- 3. low temperature (< 4 K)

Why R_{H} has to be exactly (h/e²)/n ?

• see Laughlin's argument next page

Quantization of Hall resistance (Laughlin, 1981)

$$H = \sum_{i} \frac{1}{2m} \left(\vec{p}_i + \frac{e}{c} \vec{A}(\vec{r}_i) \right)^2 + V(\vec{r}_i)$$

if we let $\vec{A} \rightarrow \vec{A} + A_0 \hat{x}$, then the current operator

$$j_{x} = \frac{-e}{m} \frac{1}{L_{x}L_{y}} \sum_{i} \left[\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{e}{c} A_{x}(\vec{r}_{i}) \right] = -\frac{c}{L_{x}L_{y}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial A_{0}}$$

 A_0 has no physical meaning, unless the sample is wrapped into a loop. It is then related to a *fictitious* flux through the loop $f = A_0 L_x$

Let us imagine such a system and solve

have

$$H_f | \mathbf{y}_f \rangle = E_f | \mathbf{y}_f \rangle$$

By the so-called Hellman-Feynman theorem, we

$$\langle \mathbf{y}_{f} | \frac{\partial H_{f}}{\partial f} | \mathbf{y}_{f} \rangle = \frac{\partial}{\partial f} \langle \mathbf{y}_{f} | H_{f} | \mathbf{y}_{f} \rangle = \frac{\partial E_{f}}{\partial f}$$

so $j_{x} = -\frac{c}{L_{y}} \frac{\partial E_{f}}{\partial f}$





- For localized states, no charge transfer whatever ϕ is.
- For extended states, integer charges may have transferred along y when ϕ is changed by one ϕ_0 $j_x = -c \frac{n(-e)}{f_0} \frac{V_y}{L_y} = n \frac{e^2}{h} E_y$

An accurate and stable resistance standard (1990)





FIG. 26. Time dependence of the $1-\Omega$ standard resistors maintained at the different national laboratories.

FIG. 27. Ratio R_H/R_R between the quantized Hall resistance R_H and a wire resistor R_R as a function of time. The result is time dependent but independent of the Hall device used in the experiment.



Concise form 483 597.879 (41) x 10⁹ Hz V⁻¹

Concise form 25 812.807 449 (86) Ω





GaAs/AlGaAs epitaxial heterojunction at 150 mK.





- superfluid analogy
- anyon excitation
- skyrmion excitation
- composite fermion
- stripe phase
- Josephson-like tunneling

• ...

as rich as superconductor

