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## I. INTRODUCTION

At $T=0$, to get the expectation value of an observable in the ground state, one only needs to take the quantum average,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A\rangle=\left\langle\Psi_{0}\right| A\left|\Psi_{0}\right\rangle \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

At $T \neq 0$, both quantum average and thermal average are required,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A\rangle_{T}=\sum_{n} \frac{e^{-\beta E_{n}}}{Z}\left\langle\Psi_{n}\right| A\left|\Psi_{n}\right\rangle, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $\beta=1 / k_{B} T, Z$ is the partition function, and $\Psi_{n}$ is the manybody eigenstates.

If the number of particles is not conserved, then we need to use the grand canonical ensemble, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A\rangle_{T, \mu}=\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n} \frac{e^{-\beta\left(E_{n}^{N}-\mu N\right)}}{\mathcal{Z}}\left\langle\Psi_{n}^{N}\right| A\left|\Psi_{n}^{N}\right\rangle, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Z}=\sum_{N, n} e^{-\beta\left(E_{n}^{N}-\mu N\right)} \equiv e^{-\beta \Omega}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $\Omega$ is known as the grand potential. Define the grand canonical Hamiltonian and the density operator as,

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{K} & =\hat{H}-\mu \hat{N}  \tag{5}\\
\hat{\rho}_{G} & =\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} e^{-\beta \hat{K}}=e^{\beta(\Omega-\hat{K})} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

then (neglect ${ }^{\wedge}$ from now on)

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{Z} & =\operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta K} \quad\left(\text { trace over }\left|\Psi_{n}^{N}\right\rangle\right)  \tag{7}\\
\text { and }\langle A\rangle_{T, \mu} & =\operatorname{Tr}\left[e^{\beta(\Omega-K)} A\right]=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{G} A\right) . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

## II. GREEN FUNCTION

The finite-temperature Green function is defined as,

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{\alpha \beta}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) & =-i \sum_{N, n} \frac{e^{-\beta\left(E_{n}^{N}-\mu N\right)}}{\mathcal{Z}}\left\langle\Psi_{n}^{N}\right| T a_{\alpha}(t) a_{\beta}^{\dagger}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\left|\Psi_{n}^{N}\right\rangle \\
& =-i \operatorname{Tr}\left[e^{\beta(\Omega-K)} T a_{\alpha}(t) a_{\beta}^{\dagger}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right], \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{\alpha}(t)=e^{i K t / \hbar} a_{\alpha} e^{-i K t / \hbar}  \tag{10}\\
& a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(t)=e^{i K t / \hbar} a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} e^{-i K t / \hbar} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

There are two types of exponential in the trace, $\rho_{G}=$ $e^{-\beta K} / \mathcal{Z}$ and $U(t)=e^{-i K t / \hbar}$. They satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \rho_{G}}{\partial \beta} & =-K \rho_{G}  \tag{12}\\
\text { and } \quad \frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} & =K U \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

These two equation are formally the same if we identify

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=-i \hbar \tau, \text { or } \tau=i t / \hbar \in R \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, define the Matsubara-Green function as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\alpha \beta}\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)=-\operatorname{Tr}\left[e^{\beta(\Omega-K)} T_{\tau} a_{\alpha}(\tau) \bar{a}_{\beta}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right], \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{\alpha}(\tau) & \equiv e^{K \tau} a_{\alpha} e^{-K \tau}  \tag{16}\\
\bar{a}_{\alpha}(\tau) & \equiv e^{K \tau} a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} e^{-K \tau} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

These two operators are not hermitian conjugate to each other when $\tau$ is real. From now on we set $\hbar=1$.

## A. Basic property

The Matsubara-Green function $G_{\alpha \beta}\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)$ has the following properties:

1. $G_{\alpha \beta}\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)=G_{\alpha \beta}\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right)$.

Pf: For $\tau>\tau^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{\alpha \beta}\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right) & =-e^{\beta \Omega} \operatorname{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta K} e^{K \tau} a_{\alpha} e^{-K\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right)} a_{\beta}^{\dagger} e^{-K \tau^{\prime}}\right] \\
& =-e^{\beta \Omega} \operatorname{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta K} e^{K\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right)} a_{\alpha} e^{-K\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right)} a_{\beta}^{\dagger}\right] \\
& =G_{\alpha \beta}\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

The proof for $\tau^{\prime}>\tau$ is similar.
2. $G_{\alpha \beta}(\tau)$ is discontinuous across $\tau=0$.

Pf: We consider the cases for bosons and fermions simultaneously,

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{\alpha \beta}\left(0^{+}\right)-G_{\alpha \beta}\left(0^{-}\right) & =-\operatorname{Tr} e^{\beta(\Omega-K)} \underbrace{\left(a_{\alpha} a_{\beta}^{\dagger} \mp a_{\beta}^{\dagger} a_{\alpha}\right)}_{\delta_{\alpha \beta}} \\
& =-\delta_{\alpha \beta} . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$



FIG. 1 Matsubara Green function $G_{\alpha}(\tau)$ for (a) bosons, and (b) fermions. Fig from Coleman's note.

The upper sign is for boson, and the lower sign is for fermion. 3. $G_{\alpha \beta}(\tau)$ converges only if $-\beta<\tau<\beta$. Pf: If $\tau>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\alpha \beta}(\tau)=-e^{\beta \Omega} \operatorname{Tr}\left[e^{K(\tau-\beta)} a_{\alpha} e^{-K \tau} a_{\beta}^{\dagger}(0)\right] . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because the energy eigenvalues would approach $+\infty$ (but not $-\infty$ ), the exponential factor $e^{-K \tau}$ converges, and $e^{K(\tau-\beta)}$ also converges when $0<\tau<\beta$.
Similarly, if $\tau<0$, then the exponential factor $e^{K \tau}$ converges, and $e^{-K(\beta+\tau)}$ also converges only when $-\beta<\tau<$ 0.
4. Periodicity:

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{\alpha \beta}(\tau)= \pm G_{\alpha \beta}(\tau+\beta) \text { for } \tau<0  \tag{21}\\
& G_{\alpha \beta}(\tau)= \pm G_{\alpha \beta}(\tau-\beta) \text { for } \tau>0 \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Pf: For $\tau<0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{\alpha \beta}(\tau+\beta) & =-e^{\beta \Omega} \operatorname{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta K} e^{(\tau+\beta) K} a_{\alpha} e^{-(\tau+\beta) K} a_{\beta}^{\dagger}\right] \\
& =-e^{\beta \Omega} \operatorname{Tr}\left[e^{\tau K} a_{\alpha} e^{-\tau K} e^{-\beta K} a_{\beta}^{\dagger}\right] \\
& =-e^{\beta \Omega} \operatorname{Tr}[e^{-\beta K} \underbrace{a_{\beta}^{\dagger} a_{\alpha}(\tau)}_{= \pm T_{\tau} a_{\alpha}(\tau) a_{\beta}^{\dagger}}] \\
& = \pm G_{\alpha \beta}(\tau) .
\end{align*}
$$

The proof for $\tau>0$ is similar.
The result of these four properties is summarized in Fig. 1.

## B. Fourier transformation

Since $G_{\alpha \beta}(\tau)$ is defined within the interval $[-\beta, \beta]$, it can be expanded as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\alpha \beta}(\tau)=\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i \pi n \tau / \beta} G_{\alpha \beta}(n) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its inverse transformation is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{\alpha \beta}(n) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\beta}^{\beta} d \tau e^{i \pi n \tau / \beta} G_{\alpha \beta}(\tau) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\beta}^{0} d \tau e^{i \pi n \tau / \beta} \underbrace{G_{\alpha \beta}(\tau)}_{ \pm G_{\alpha \beta}(\tau+\beta)}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\beta} d \tau e^{i \pi n \tau / \beta} G_{\alpha \beta}(\tau) \\
= & \frac{e^{-i \pi n}}{2} \int_{0}^{\beta} d \tau^{\prime} e^{i \pi n \tau^{\prime} / \beta}\left[ \pm G_{\alpha \beta}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right]+2 \text { nd term above } \\
= & \int_{0}^{\beta} d \tau e^{i \pi n \tau / \beta} G_{\alpha \beta}(\tau) \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

in which $n$ is even for boson, odd for fermion. That is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{\alpha \beta}\left(i \omega_{n}\right)=\int_{0}^{\beta} d \tau e^{i \omega_{n} \tau} G_{\alpha \beta}(\tau)  \tag{26}\\
&\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\omega_{n} \\
=2 n \frac{\pi}{\beta} \text { for bosons } \\
\omega_{n}
\end{array}=(2 n+1) \frac{\pi}{\beta}\right. \text { for fermions. } \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

The frequency $\omega_{n}$ is known as the Matsubara frequency.

A brief summary:
To move from $T=0$ to $T \neq 0$, the following substitution is required:

1. it $\rightarrow \tau$,
2. $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t e^{i \omega t} \rightarrow \int_{0}^{\beta} d \tau e^{i \omega_{m} \tau}$,
3. $\int d \omega e^{-i \omega t} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i \omega_{m}} e^{-i \omega_{m} \tau}$.

## C. Spectral representation

Consider the following ground-state average at $T=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{A B}^{R}(t)=-i \theta(t)\left\langle[A(t), B(0)]_{\mp}\right\rangle_{0}, \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A, B$ are $a_{\alpha}$ and/or $a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}$. If $(A, B)=\left(a_{\alpha}, a_{\beta}^{\dagger}\right)$, then $C_{A B}^{R}(t)$ is the retarded Green function $G_{\alpha \beta}^{R}$. Recall that (Chap 6),

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{A B}^{R}(\omega) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d t e^{i \omega t} C_{A B}^{R}(t)  \tag{33}\\
& =\sum_{n}\left(\frac{A_{0 n} B_{n 0}}{\omega-\omega_{n 0}+i \eta} \mp \frac{B_{0 n} A_{n 0}}{\omega+\omega_{n 0}+i \eta}\right) \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

in which $\omega_{n m} \equiv \omega_{n}-\omega_{m}$. When $T \neq 0$, but still with real time and canonical ensemble,

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{A B}^{R}(\omega) \\
= & \sum_{m n} P_{m}\left(\frac{A_{m n} B_{n m}}{\omega-\omega_{n m}+i \eta} \mp \frac{B_{m n} A_{n m}}{\omega+\omega_{n m}+i \eta}\right),  \tag{35}\\
= & \sum_{m n}\left(P_{m} \mp P_{n}\right) \frac{A_{m n} B_{n m}}{\omega-\omega_{n m}+i \eta}, P_{n}=\frac{e^{-\beta E_{n}}}{Z} . \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

Now with imaginary time (still in canonical ensemble), for $\tau>0$, define

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{A B}(\tau) & =-\left\langle T_{\tau} A(\tau) B(0)\right\rangle  \tag{37}\\
& =-\frac{1}{Z} \operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta H} e^{\tau H} A e^{-\tau H} B\right)  \tag{38}\\
& =-\frac{1}{Z} \sum_{m n} e^{-\beta E_{m}} e^{-\tau\left(E_{n}-E_{m}\right)} A_{m n} B_{n m} . \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that,

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{A B}\left(i \omega_{\ell}\right) & =\int_{0}^{\beta} d \tau e^{i \omega_{\ell} \tau}\left(-\sum_{m n} P_{m} e^{-\tau\left(E_{n}-E_{m}\right)} A_{m n} B_{n m}\right) \\
& =\sum_{m n}\left(P_{m} \mp P_{n}\right) \frac{A_{m n} B_{n m}}{i \omega_{\ell}-\omega_{n m}} . \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing Eq. (36) with Eq. (40), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{A B}^{R}(\omega)=C_{A B}\left(i \omega_{\ell} \rightarrow \omega+i \eta\right) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The advanced function can be obtained in a similar way with the substitution $i \omega_{\ell} \rightarrow \omega-i \eta$. This remains valid for grand canonical ensemble. One only needs to replace

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{n} & \rightarrow K_{n}=E_{n}-\mu N,  \tag{42}\\
\text { and } \sum_{n} & \rightarrow \sum_{N, n}, Z \rightarrow \mathcal{Z} . \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Note (important): The analytic continuation $i \omega_{\ell} \rightarrow \omega \pm$ in cannot be applied before doing the $\tau$-integral. See p. 263 (and p.297) of Ref. 3.

In the spectral representation, the Matsubara-Green function for fermions can be written as,

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{\alpha \beta}\left(i \omega_{\ell}\right) & =\sum_{m n}\left(P_{m}+P_{n}\right) \frac{\left(a_{\alpha}\right)_{m n}\left(a_{\beta}^{\dagger}\right)_{n m}}{i \omega_{\ell}-\omega_{n m}}  \tag{44}\\
& =\int \frac{d \omega}{2 \pi} \frac{A_{\alpha \beta}(\omega)}{i \omega_{\ell}-\omega} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{\alpha \beta}(\omega) & \equiv 2 \pi \sum_{m n}\left(P_{m}+P_{n}\right)\left(a_{\alpha}\right)_{m n}\left(a_{\beta}^{\dagger}\right)_{n m} \delta\left(\omega-\omega_{n m}\right) \\
\text { also } & =-2 \operatorname{Im} G_{\alpha \beta}\left(i \omega_{\ell} \rightarrow \omega+i \eta\right) . \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

## III. NON-INTERACTING SYSTEM

Consider non-interacting bosons/fermions with the grand canonical Hamiltonian,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{0}=H_{0}-\mu N=\sum_{\alpha}\left(\varepsilon_{\alpha}-\mu\right) a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\alpha} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the Heisenberg picture, $a_{\alpha}(t)=e^{i H_{0} t} a_{\alpha} e^{-i H_{0} t}$. Also,

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{-\mu N} a_{\alpha} e^{\mu N} & =e^{\mu} a_{\alpha}  \tag{48}\\
e^{-\mu N} a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} e^{\mu N} & =e^{-\mu} a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} . \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left[H_{0}, N\right]=0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{\alpha}(\tau)=e^{K_{0} \tau} a_{\alpha} e^{-K_{0} \tau}=e^{-\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha} \tau} a_{\alpha},  \tag{50}\\
& \bar{a}_{\alpha}(\tau)=e^{K_{0} \tau} a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} e^{-K_{0} \tau}=e^{\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha} \tau} a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha} \equiv \varepsilon_{\alpha}-\mu$.
One can show that,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\beta}\right\rangle_{0} & =\frac{\delta_{\alpha \beta}}{e^{\beta \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}} \mp 1}=n_{\alpha} \delta_{\alpha \beta},  \tag{52}\\
\text { where } n_{\alpha} & \equiv \frac{1}{e^{\beta \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}} \mp 1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Pf: Ref: p. 224 of Kubo, Statistical Physics II.

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\beta}\right\rangle_{0} & =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{e^{-\beta K_{0}}}{\mathcal{Z}} a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\beta}\right)  \tag{53}\\
& =\frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(1 a_{\beta} e^{-\beta K_{0}} a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}\right)  \tag{54}\\
& =e^{-\beta \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}}\left\langle a_{\beta} a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}\right\rangle_{0}, \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have inserted $e^{-\beta K_{0}} e^{\beta K_{0}}$ at the location " 1 ". From the (anti-) commutation relation, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mp\left\langle a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\beta}\right\rangle_{0}=\delta_{\alpha \beta}-\left\langle a_{\beta} a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}\right\rangle_{0}, \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Eq. (52) follows.
With time dependence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle a_{\alpha}(\tau) \bar{a}_{\beta}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle_{0}=e^{-\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right)}\left\langle a_{\alpha} a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}\right\rangle_{0} \delta_{\alpha \beta} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{\alpha \beta}^{0}\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right) \\
= & -\left\langle T_{\tau} a_{\alpha}(\tau) \bar{a}_{\beta}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle_{0} \\
= & -\theta\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right)\left\langle a_{\alpha}(\tau) \bar{a}_{\beta}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle_{0} \mp \theta\left(\tau^{\prime}-\tau\right)\left\langle\bar{a}_{\beta}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) a_{\alpha}(\tau)\right\rangle_{0} \\
= & -\delta_{\alpha \beta}\left[\theta\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right)\left(1 \pm n_{\alpha}\right) \pm \theta\left(\tau^{\prime}-\tau\right) n_{\alpha}\right] e^{-\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right)} . \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

See Fig. 1 for plots of the non-interacting MatsubaraGreen functions. Its Fourier transformation gives,

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{\alpha}^{0}\left(i \omega_{n}\right) & =\int_{0}^{\beta} d \tau e^{i \omega_{n} \tau} G_{\alpha}^{0}(\tau) \\
& =-\left(1 \pm n_{\alpha}\right) \int_{0}^{\beta} d \tau e^{\left(i \omega_{n}-\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}\right) \tau} \\
& =\frac{1}{i \omega_{n}-\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}} . \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $G_{\alpha}^{0}\left(i \omega_{n} \rightarrow \omega+i \eta\right)$ gives the non-interacting retarded Green function.

Now we transform the Matsubara-Green function back to the time domain,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\alpha}^{0}(\tau)=\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i \omega_{n}} \underbrace{e^{-i \omega_{n} \tau} G_{\alpha}^{0}\left(i \omega_{n}\right)}_{\equiv g\left(i \omega_{n}\right)}, \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{n}=2 n \pi / \beta$ for bosons, or $(2 n+1) \pi / \beta$ for fermions. A trick can be used to evaluate the summation:


FIG. 2 (a) Poles at $i \omega_{n}$ and the encircling path. (b) An inflated path of integration, avoiding the other poles. (c) Since the large circle and the opposing horizontal paths in (b) make no contribution, only the small circles around other poles are left.

First, note that the Matsubara frequencies $i \omega_{n}$ are poles of the distribution functions,

$$
\begin{align*}
n(z) & =\frac{1}{e^{\beta z}-1} \text { for bosons }  \tag{61}\\
\text { or } & =\frac{1}{e^{\beta z}+1} \text { for fermions. } \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{c} n(z) g(z) d z= \pm \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i \omega_{n}} g\left(i \omega_{n}\right) . \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

$P f:$ Because of the Cauchy residue theorem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{c} n(z) g(z) d z=\sum_{\ell} \operatorname{Res}\left[n\left(z_{\ell}\right)\right] g\left(z_{\ell}\right), \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $C$ is the path in Fig. 2(a). Near a pole,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{e^{\beta z} \mp 1} & =\frac{1}{e^{\beta i \omega_{n}} e^{\beta\left(z-i \omega_{n}\right)} \mp 1}  \tag{65}\\
& \simeq \frac{1}{ \pm\left[1+\beta\left(z-i \omega_{n}\right)\right] \mp 1}  \tag{66}\\
& = \pm \frac{1 / \beta}{z-i \omega_{n}} . \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Res} n\left(i \omega_{n}\right)= \pm \frac{1}{\beta} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Eq. (63) follows.
Second, we now inflate the contour $C$ to a large circle, but avoid crossing the poles of $g(z)$ (see Fig. 2(b)). If $n(z) g(z)$ decays faster than $1 / z$, then the integral around the circle at infinity is zero. Therefore, only the integration around the poles of $g(z)$ survive (see Fig. 2(c)),

$$
\begin{align*}
\oint_{c} d z & =\oint_{c_{\infty}} d z+\underbrace{\oint_{c^{\prime}}}_{\text {clockwise }} d z  \tag{69}\\
& =0-\oint_{\bar{c}^{\prime}} d z, \quad\left(\bar{c}^{\prime} \text { is counter }- \text { clockwise }\right) . \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

Apply the Cauchy residue theorem to the second integral, it follows that (cf: Eq. (64)),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pm \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i \omega_{n}} g\left(i \omega_{n}\right)=-\sum_{\ell} n\left(z_{\ell}\right) \operatorname{Res} g\left(z_{\ell}\right) \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{\ell}$ are the poles of $g(z)$.
Now, choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=e^{-\tau z} G_{\alpha}^{0}(z)=\frac{e^{-\tau z}}{z-\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has a simple pole at $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}$, and Res $g\left(z_{\ell}\right)=e^{-\tau \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}}$. Also, note that

$$
\begin{align*}
n(z) g(z) & \simeq e^{-\beta z} e^{-\tau z} / z \text { for } \operatorname{Re}(z) \gg 0,  \tag{73}\\
& \simeq \mp e^{-\tau z} / z \text { for } \operatorname{Re}(z) \ll 0 . \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

Both cases lead to converged result if $-\beta<\tau<0$. Therefore, from Eqs. (60) and (71), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{\alpha}^{0}(\tau<0) & =\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i \omega_{n}} g\left(i \omega_{n}\right)  \tag{75}\\
& =\mp \frac{1}{e^{\beta \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}} \mp 1} e^{-\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha} \tau} \\
& =\mp n\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}\right) e^{-\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha} \tau} . \tag{76}
\end{align*}
$$

What if $\tau>0$ ? In this range, we need to choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(-z)=\frac{1}{e^{-\beta z} \mp 1} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
n(-z) g(z) & \simeq \mp e^{-\tau z} / z \text { for } \operatorname{Re}(z) \gg 0  \tag{78}\\
& \simeq e^{\beta z} e^{-\tau z} / z \text { for } \operatorname{Re}(z) \ll 0 . \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

Both cases lead to converged result if $0<\tau<\beta$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{\alpha}^{0}(\tau>0) & = \pm \frac{1}{e^{-\beta \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}} \mp 1} e^{-\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha} \tau} \\
& = \pm n\left(-\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}\right) e^{-\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha} \tau} \tag{80}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \pm n\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}\right)=\mp n\left(-\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}\right) \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

combining Eqs. (76) and (80), we are back to Eq. (58).
For reference, for bosons/fermions, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
n\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}\right)\left[1 \pm n\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}\right)\right]=-\frac{1}{\beta} \frac{d n}{d \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}} \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that, for fermions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{T \rightarrow 0} \beta n(1-n)=\delta\left(\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}\right) \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

## IV. INTERACTING SYSTEM

For an interacting fermion system,

$$
\begin{gather*}
H=H_{0}+H^{\prime}  \tag{84}\\
\text { or } K=K_{0}+H^{\prime}  \tag{85}\\
G_{\alpha \beta}\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)=-\left\langle T_{\tau} a_{\alpha}(\tau) \bar{a}_{\beta}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle  \tag{86}\\
=-\frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta K} T_{\tau} a_{\alpha}(\tau) \bar{a}_{\beta}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right]}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta K}\right)}, \tag{87}
\end{gather*}
$$

in which $a_{\alpha}(\tau)=e^{K \tau} a_{\alpha} e^{-K \tau}$, and one traces over exact manybody energy eigenstates $\left|\Psi_{n}^{N}\right\rangle$. Similar to the $T=0$ case in the preceding chapter, we treat the interaction as a perturbation and trace over non-interacting states $\left|\Phi_{n}^{N}\right\rangle$. Note that here the adiabatic assumption is not required.

First, write

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-K \tau}=e^{-K_{0} \tau} U_{I}(\tau, 0), \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{I}\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)=e^{K_{0} \tau} e^{-K\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right)} e^{-K_{0} \tau^{\prime}}$. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial U_{I}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} & =e^{K_{0} \tau}\left(K_{0}-K\right) e^{-K_{0} \tau} U_{I}(\tau, 0) \\
& =-H_{I}^{\prime}(\tau) U_{I}(\tau, 0) \tag{89}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that,

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{I}(\tau, 0) & =\sum_{n} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!} \int_{0}^{\tau} d \tau_{1} \cdots d \tau_{n} T_{\tau}\left[H_{I}^{\prime}\left(\tau_{1}\right) \cdots H_{I}^{\prime}\left(\tau_{n}\right)\right] \\
& =T_{\tau} e^{-\int_{0}^{\tau} d \tau^{\prime} H_{I}^{\prime}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)} \tag{90}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $a_{I \alpha}(\tau)=e^{K_{0} \tau} a_{\alpha} e^{-K_{0} \tau}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{\alpha}(\tau)=\underbrace{e^{K \tau} e^{-K_{0}}}_{U_{I}(0, \tau)} a_{I \alpha}(\tau) \underbrace{e^{K_{0} \tau} e^{-K \tau}}_{U_{I}(\tau, 0)},  \tag{91}\\
& \bar{a}_{\beta}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)=U_{I}\left(0, \tau^{\prime}\right) \bar{a}_{I \beta}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) U_{I}\left(\tau^{\prime}, 0\right) . \tag{92}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, write

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-\beta K}=e^{-\beta K_{0}} U_{I}(\beta, 0) \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for $\tau>\tau^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{\alpha \beta}\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)  \tag{94}\\
= & -\frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta K_{0}} U_{I}(\beta, \tau) a_{I \alpha}(\tau) U_{I}\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right) \bar{a}_{I \beta}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) U_{I}\left(\tau^{\prime}, 0\right)\right]}{\operatorname{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta K_{0}} U_{I}(\beta, 0)\right]} .
\end{align*}
$$

The case for $\tau<\tau^{\prime}$ works similarly. Thus, the Matsubara-Green function can be written as,

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{\alpha \beta}\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right) & =-\frac{\left\langle T_{\tau} U_{I}(\beta, 0) a_{I \alpha}(\tau) \bar{a}_{I \beta}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle_{0}}{\left\langle U_{I}(\beta, 0)\right\rangle_{0}}  \tag{95}\\
& =-\frac{\left\langle T_{\tau} e^{-\int_{0}^{\beta} d \tau^{\prime \prime} H_{I}^{\prime}\left(\tau^{\prime \prime}\right)} a_{I \alpha}(\tau) \bar{a}_{I \beta}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle_{0}}{\left\langle T_{\tau} e^{-\int_{0}^{\beta} d \tau^{\prime} H_{I}^{\prime}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)}\right\rangle_{0}} \tag{96}
\end{align*}
$$

The subscript " 0 " means that we use $e^{-\beta K_{0}}$ for thermal average and trace over non-interacting eigenstates $\left|\Phi_{n}^{N}\right\rangle$. This is the finite-temperature generalization of the Gellmann and Low theorem. To calculate it, we need to expand the exponential with the interaction. Again the Wick theorem would be of great help for our calculations.

## A. Wick theorem

Recall that for $T=0$, the Wick theorem (1950) is about moving $a_{\alpha}$ to the right, and taking advantage of $a_{\alpha}\left|\Phi_{0}\right\rangle=0$. It is an operator identity, and the groundstate average of the operator product is eventually left with fully contracted terms.

In comparison, the Wick theorem for $T \neq 0$ (1955) is not about moving $a_{\alpha}$ to the right, since $a_{\alpha}\left|\Phi_{n}\right\rangle \neq 0$ for excited states. Also, it is not an operator identity, since ensemble average is required, and the thermal factor $e^{-\beta K_{0}}$ is essential for this theorem. However, the algebraic forms of this two theorems are quite similar, and the Matsubara-Green function would also be decomposed as fully contracted terms.

First, recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A\rangle_{0}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho_{0} A\right), \rho_{0}=\frac{e^{-\beta K_{0}}}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{-\beta K_{0}}\right)}=e^{\beta \Omega-\beta K_{0}} \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second, the contraction between two operators is defined as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overbrace{A B}=\left\langle T_{\tau} A B\right\rangle_{0}=\operatorname{Tr}\left\{\rho_{0} T_{\tau}(A B)\right\} . \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example,

$$
\begin{align*}
\overbrace{a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\beta}^{\dagger}}^{\overbrace{\alpha} a_{\beta}} & =0,  \tag{99}\\
\overbrace{a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\beta}} & =\left\langle a_{\alpha}^{\dagger} a_{\beta}\right\rangle=\frac{\delta_{\alpha \beta}}{e^{\beta \tilde{\varepsilon}_{k} \mp 1}}=n_{\alpha} \delta_{\alpha \beta},  \tag{100}\\
\overbrace{a_{\alpha} a_{\beta}^{\dagger}}^{\dagger} & =\left\langle a_{\alpha} a_{\beta}^{\dagger}\right\rangle=\frac{\delta_{\alpha \beta}}{1 \mp e^{-\beta \tilde{\varepsilon}_{k}}}=\left(1 \pm n_{\alpha}\right) \delta_{\alpha \beta}  \tag{101}\\
\overbrace{a_{\alpha}(\tau) \bar{a}_{\beta}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)} & =-G_{\alpha \beta}^{0}\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right) . \tag{102}
\end{align*}
$$

The Wick theorem at finite temperature goes as,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle T_{\tau} A B C \cdots X Y Z\right\rangle_{0}  \tag{104}\\
= & \langle T_{\tau} \overbrace{A B} C \cdots X Y Z\rangle_{0}+\langle T_{\tau} \overbrace{A B C} \cdots X Y Z\rangle_{0}+\cdots,
\end{align*}
$$

where $A, B, \cdots$ are creation or annihilation operators. The contracted terms can be moved out of the brackets. One then keeps contracting the operators till everyone is paired. A proof of this theorem can be found in, e.g., p. 679 of Ref. 1.

It's clear that, further derivation just duplicate the analysis in the $T=0$ theory. For example, the $T \neq 0$ theory also has the linked cluster theorem and the Dyson equation (see Chap 6).

## V. GREEN FUNCTION IN MOMENTUM SPACE

Consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\sum_{k \alpha}\left(\varepsilon_{k \alpha}-\mu\right) a_{k \alpha}^{\dagger} a_{k \alpha}+V_{e e}, \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Matsubara-Green function at the zeroth order is,

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{\alpha \beta}^{0}\left(\mathbf{k}, i \omega_{n}\right) & =\frac{1}{V_{0}} \sum_{k} \int_{0}^{\beta} d \tau e^{i \omega_{n} \tau} e^{-i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} G_{\alpha \beta}^{0}(\mathbf{r}, \tau) \\
& =\delta_{\alpha \beta} \frac{1}{i \omega_{n}-\tilde{\varepsilon}_{k \alpha}} . \tag{106}
\end{align*}
$$

To the first order,

$$
\begin{gather*}
G_{\alpha \beta}\left(\mathbf{k}, i \omega_{n}\right)=G_{\alpha \beta}^{0}\left(\mathbf{k}, i \omega_{n}\right)  \tag{107}\\
-\int_{0}^{\beta} d \tau e^{i \omega_{n}\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime}\right)}(-1) \int d \tau_{1}\left\langle T_{\tau} V_{e e}\left(\tau_{1}\right) a_{k \alpha}(\tau) \bar{a}_{k \beta}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle_{0,}
\end{gather*}
$$

where
$V_{e e}(\tau)=\frac{1}{2 V_{0}} \sum_{k_{1} k_{2} q} V_{\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \gamma_{2} \gamma_{1}}^{(2)}(\mathbf{q}) \bar{a}_{k_{1}+q, \gamma_{1}} \bar{a}_{k_{2}-q, \gamma_{2}} a_{k_{2} \gamma_{2}} a_{k_{1} \gamma_{1}}$.
The operators are all at the same time $\tau$. To contract operators with the same time, use

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\bar{a}_{\alpha} a_{\beta}\right\rangle & =-\left\langle T_{\tau} a_{\beta} a_{\alpha}^{\dagger}\left(0^{+}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =G_{\beta \alpha}^{0}\left(0^{-}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{n} e^{i \omega_{n} \eta} G_{\beta \alpha}\left(i \omega_{n}\right), \quad \eta=0^{+} . \tag{109}
\end{align*}
$$

That is, when $G_{\alpha \beta}^{0}$ connects to the same wavy line, the factor $e^{i \omega_{n} \eta}$ needs be inserted.
Using the Wick theorem, and following the same procedure as the $T=0$ theory, one would get the HartreeFock self-energy below (see Chap 6, assuming $\varepsilon_{k \alpha}=\varepsilon_{k}$ is spin-independent),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bigcup_{\xi}{ }^{q}=-2 \int \frac{d^{3} q}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{n} G^{0}\left(\mathbf{q}, i \omega_{n}\right) e^{i \omega_{n} \eta}}_{G^{0}\left(\mathbf{q}, 0^{-}\right)}[-V(0)],(  \tag{110}\\
& \substack { k-q  \tag{111}\\
\begin{subarray}{c}{k-\square{ k - q \\
\begin{subarray} { c } { k - \square } } \\
& =\int \frac{d^{3} q}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{n} G^{0}\left(\mathbf{q}, i \omega_{n}\right) e^{i \omega_{n} \eta}[-V(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}),
\end{align*}
$$

The Matsubara-Green function is spin-independent, and the subscript is omitted (see Eq. (58)),

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{0}\left(\mathbf{q}, 0^{-}\right)=\frac{1}{e^{\beta \tilde{\varepsilon}_{q}}+1}=n_{q} . \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Sigma^{H} & =2 \int \frac{d^{3} q}{(2 \pi)^{3}} n_{q} V(0)=\frac{N}{V_{0}} V(0),  \tag{113}\\
\Sigma^{F} & =-\int \frac{d^{3} q}{(2 \pi)^{3}} n_{q} V(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}) \tag{114}
\end{align*}
$$

This is the same as the $T=0$ result in Chap 6, except that $n_{q}$ can be a fraction of one.

At finite temperature, the Feynman rules for electron self-energy are:

1. At the $n$-th order, draw all topologically distinct connected diagrams with $n$ wavy lines, $2 n$ vertices, and $2 n+1$ solid lines.
2. Each solid line is $G_{\alpha \beta}^{0}(k), k \equiv\left(\mathbf{k}, i \omega_{n}\right)$. For a closed loop, or a segment linked by the same wavy line, alter it to $G_{\alpha \beta}^{0}(k) e^{i \omega_{n} \eta}$.
3. Each wavy line is $V_{\gamma_{1}^{\prime} \gamma_{2}^{\prime} \gamma_{2} \gamma_{1}}^{(2)}(q)$.
4. Associate each line with a 4 -momentum, and the 4 momentum flow needs be conserved at each vertex.
5. Sum over internal degrees of freedom (4-momentum, spin ... etc), $\left(1 / V_{0}\right) \sum_{k \alpha \ldots}$. The energy integral should be understood as the Matsubara-frequency sum.
6. Multiply the summation by $(-1)^{n}(-1)^{F}$, where $n$ is the order (or half of the number of vertices), and $F$ is the number of closed fermion loops. Note: for photon self-energy, $n$ is one-half of the number of vertices (or the number of internal momenta).
This is essentially the same as the Feynman rules at zero temperature, except numerical factor in the last one.

For example, for the bubble diagram, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\underbrace{k+q}_{k} & =(-1)^{2} 2 \int \frac{d^{3} k}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{m} G^{0}(k) G^{0}(k+q) \\
& \equiv \Pi^{0}(q), \tag{115}
\end{align*}
$$

in which $k=\left(\mathbf{k}, i \omega_{m}\right), q=\left(\mathbf{q}, i \nu_{n}\right)$. Be aware that the $\nu_{n}$ from the photon propagator is bosonic, instead of fermionic. Now, for fermions, using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i \omega_{n}} f\left(i \omega_{n}\right)=\sum_{\ell} n\left(z_{\ell}\right) \operatorname{Res} f\left(z_{\ell}\right), \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{m} G^{0} G^{0} & =\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{m} \frac{1}{i \omega_{m}-\tilde{\varepsilon}_{k}} \frac{1}{i \omega_{m}+i \nu_{n}-\tilde{\varepsilon}_{k+q}}  \tag{117}\\
& =\sum_{\ell} n\left(z_{\ell}\right) \operatorname{Res}\left(\frac{1}{z-\tilde{\varepsilon}_{k}} \frac{1}{z+i \nu_{n}-\tilde{\varepsilon}_{k+q}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{e^{\beta \tilde{\varepsilon}_{k}}+1} \frac{1}{i \nu_{n}+\tilde{\varepsilon}_{k}-\tilde{\varepsilon}_{k+q}} \\
& +\frac{1}{e^{\beta \tilde{\varepsilon}_{k+q}+1}} \frac{1}{-i \nu_{n}-\tilde{\varepsilon}_{k}+\tilde{\varepsilon}_{k+q}}  \tag{118}\\
& =\frac{n_{k}-n_{k+q}}{i \nu_{n}+\varepsilon_{k}-\varepsilon_{k+q}} . \tag{119}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $e^{i \beta \nu_{n}}=1$, since $\nu_{n}$ is bosonic. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi^{0}\left(\mathbf{q}, i \nu_{n}\right)=2 \int \frac{d^{3} k}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \frac{n_{k}-n_{k+q}}{i \nu_{n}+\varepsilon_{k}-\varepsilon_{k+q}} . \tag{120}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again after the analytic continuation $i \nu_{n} \rightarrow \nu+i \eta$, it is the same as the $\Pi_{0}^{R}$ at $T=0$, except that now $n_{q}$ can be a fraction of one.

One can consider the bubble diagram with dressed fermion lines,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi(q)=2 \int \frac{d^{3} k}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{m} G(k) G(k+q) \tag{121}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(k)=\frac{1}{i \omega_{n}-\tilde{\varepsilon}_{k}-\Sigma(k)} . \tag{122}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Sigma(k)$ is the proper self-energy due to, e.g., impurity scatterings (next Chap) or electron-electron interactions. Using the spectral representation in Eq. (45),

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(\mathbf{k}, i \omega_{m}\right)=\int \frac{d \omega}{2 \pi} \frac{A_{k}(\omega)}{i \omega_{m}-\omega}, \tag{123}
\end{equation*}
$$

one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{m} G(k) G(k+q)  \tag{130}\\
= & \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \omega d \omega^{\prime}}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \frac{A_{k}(\omega) A_{k+q}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)}{\left(i \omega_{m}-\omega\right)\left(i \omega_{m}+i \nu_{n}-\omega^{\prime}\right)}  \tag{124}\\
= & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d \omega d \omega^{\prime}}{(2 \pi)^{2}} A_{k}(\omega) A_{k+q}\left(\omega^{\prime}\right) \frac{n_{k}(\omega)-n_{k+q}\left(\omega^{\prime}+i \nu_{n}\right)}{i \nu_{n}+\omega-\omega^{\prime}}(125)
\end{align*}
$$

2. Start from Eq. (60), using similar procedure that leads to Eq. (75) for $G_{\alpha}^{0}(\tau<0)$, verify that the MatsubaraGreen function $G_{\alpha}^{0}(\tau>0)$ is given in Eq. (80).
3. (a) Show that the grand potential $\Omega$ for noninteracting bosons/fermions is

$$
\Omega= \pm \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{\alpha, i \omega_{n}} \ln \left(-i \omega_{n}+\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}\right) e^{i \omega_{n} \eta}
$$

(b) Sum over the Matsubara frequencies, and show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega= \pm \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{\alpha} \ln \left(1 \mp e^{-\beta \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\alpha}}\right) . \tag{131}
\end{equation*}
$$
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