

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials 310 (2007) e702-e704

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmmm

Spin accumulation in the electron transport with Rashba interaction

Yi-Ying Chin^a, Jui-Yu Chiu^b, Ming-Che Chang^c, Chung-Yu Mou^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 300, Taiwan ^bDepartment of Physics, University of California-San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093-0319, USA ^cDepartment of Physics, National Normal University, Taipei, Taiwan

Available online 21 November 2006

Abstract

The nonequilibrium transportation of two-dimensional electrons through a narrow channel is investigated under the influence of the Rashba interaction. By introducing suitable lifetime in the Green's function, the average spin values can be calculated from the ballistic regime to the diffusive regime. It is shown that the spin accumulation is a combined effect of the spin current and disorders. In the diffusive regime, disorders offer a mechanism to stop the spin current and generate the spin accumulation. In the ballistic regime, spins are more spread out and do not have definite signs. Further consideration indicates that the inclusion of ferromagnetic spin–spin interaction increases the spin accumulation near the edge. © 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

© 2000 Elsevier B.v. All rights reserv

PACS: 72.25.-b; 72.25.Dc; 73.40.-c

Keywords: Spin accumulation; Spin-orbit interaction; Rashba

Generation and transfer of spins, preferably without using external magnetic field or magnetic materials, is an important issue in spintronics [1]. In semiconductors, through the intrinsic spin-orbit interactions related to the Dresselhaus or the Rashba mechanism [1], it is possible to generate, or even manipulate spins using only an electric field. Recently, it is further proposed that the spin Hall current can be generated in bulk semiconductors [2] and semiconductor heterojunctions [3] because of the spinorbit interactions. Unlike an earlier proposed spin Hall effect involving impurities [4], these two studies demonstrated that the spin Hall effect is possible in pure samples without any impurities. Experimentally, the spin Hall effect has been observed in a number of systems [5]. The key observation is the accumulation of opposite spin polarizations on two sides of the sample. While it is natural to associate the spin accumulation with the spin Hall effect, for the intrinsic case, a satisfying theory of spin accumulation still does not exist.

In this paper, by introducing suitable lifetime in the Green's function, the spin accumulation will be calculated

0304-8853/\$ - see front matter © 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jmmm.2006.10.1029

from the ballistic regime to the diffusive regime. The origin of spin accumulation will be clarified.

We start by considering a two-dimensional electron system confined in a channel

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\hbar}\hat{z} \cdot [\hat{\sigma} \times \hat{p}] + V_C + V_I.$$
(1)

Here α characterizes the Rashba interaction, $\hat{\sigma}$ are Pauli matrices and V_C is the hard-wall potential that confines the electron to -W/2 < y < W/2. V_I is the potential due to point disorders, $V_I(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_i v_{0} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_i)$ [6]. We shall use $\overline{\cdots}$ to denote averaging over disorders and n_i to denote the concentration of impurities. Since H possesses the time-reversal symmetry, the Kramer's theorem implies that each eigenstate is doubly degenerate. Physically, the degeneracy represents two opposite propagating directions along x-axis. Therefore, we shall denote the Kramer degeneracy by $\lambda = \pm$, representing propagating along $\pm x$, respectively.

To find the spin accumulation $\overline{\langle S_z(\mathbf{r}) \rangle} \equiv s_z(\mathbf{r})$, we first note that because $\sigma_y H(x, y)\sigma_y = H(x, -y)$, for each eigenstate $\psi_{n\lambda}(x, y)$, one obtains $\sigma_y \psi_{n\lambda}(x, y) = \psi_{n\lambda}(x, -y)$ and thus it follows that $s_z(\mathbf{r})$ is antisymmetric in y. This simple argument, however, cannot assign a definite sign for $s_z(\mathbf{r})$. Numerics is then necessary to pin down the sign. It is

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: +88635742537; fax: +88635723052. *E-mail address:* mou@phys.nthu.edu.tw (C.-Y. Mou).

important to note that for each energy, $s_z(\mathbf{r})$ have opposite signs for opposite propagating directions. As a result, spin accumulation can only happen when the system is not in equilibrium, i.e., there must be a biased potential between two electrodes. Therefore, one has $s_z(\mathbf{r}) =$ $\sum_n \langle \psi_{n+}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) | S_z^{\alpha\beta} | \psi_{n+}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$, where $\psi_{n+}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r})$ denotes eigenstates with $\lambda = +$ and the energy E_n is restricted to the regime $eV_1 \leq E_n \leq eV_2$ with V_1 and V_2 being the electric potentials of electrodes. By inserting $\int_{eV_1}^{eV_2} dE\delta(E - E_n)$ and using the identity $\text{Im}[1/(E - E_n + i\varepsilon)] = -i\pi\delta(E - E_n)$, we find

$$\overline{\langle S_z(\mathbf{r})\rangle} = \int_{eV_1}^{eV_2} \mathrm{d}E\bigg\{\frac{-1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Tr}[S_z \overline{G_+(E,\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r})}]\bigg\},\tag{2}$$

where $G_+(E, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r})$ is the retarded Green's function in the $\lambda = +$ channel and Tr is the trace over the spin space. In the Born approximation, $\overline{G_{n+}^{\alpha\beta}}(E, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}) = \sum_n [\phi_{n+}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})]^* \phi_{n+}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r})/[E - E_n + i\gamma N_+(E, \mathbf{r})]$ with $\gamma = n_i v_0^2$, $\phi_{n+}(\mathbf{r})$ being the energy eigenstate and $N_+(E, \mathbf{r})$ being the local density of states in the absence of disorders [6]. In this case, $\lambda = +$ corresponds to positive k_x . Hence only positive k_x is retained in Eq. (2), reflecting nonequilibrium nature of the calculation. For a given k_x , $\phi_{n+}(\mathbf{r})$ is a linear combination of four waves with $k_y = \pm k_y^{\pm}$, where $E \equiv \hbar^2 k_{\pm}^2/2m \pm \alpha k_{\pm}$ with $k_{\pm}^2 = k_x^2 + (k_y^{\pm})^2$. The hard-wall boundary condition yields the following equation and further selects k_y^{\pm} :

$$1 + e^{2i(k_{y}^{+}+k_{y}^{-})W} - 4e^{i(k_{y}^{+}+k_{y}^{-})W} \frac{k_{y}^{+}k_{y}^{-}}{k_{+}k_{-} + k_{x}^{2} + k_{y}^{+}k_{y}^{-}} - (e^{ik_{y}^{+}W} + e^{ik_{y}^{-}W})\frac{k_{+}k_{-} + k_{x}^{2} - k_{y}^{+}k_{y}^{-}}{k_{+}k_{-} + k_{x}^{2} + k_{y}^{+}k_{y}^{-}} = 0.$$
(3)

Once k_y^{\pm} are found, ϕ_{n+} and thus $\overline{G_+^{\alpha\beta}}(E, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r})$ are obtained. Clearly, k_v^{\pm} determine how $s_z(\mathbf{r})$ oscillates for each state and, in general, there is no obvious spin accumulation for each state. This is similar to the charge Hall effect in which there is no charge accumulation for single particle states and one needs Coulomb interaction to stop charges and generate charge accumulation. Fig. 1 shows the numerical results of $s_{z}(\mathbf{r})$ for different γ . By setting $\gamma \rightarrow 0$, one reaches the ballistic limit where spins are more spread out, and furthermore, as shown in the inset of Fig. 1, depending on parameters, $s_z(\mathbf{r})$ may even switch the sign. Nonetheless, as one turns on disorders, $s_z(\mathbf{r})$ begins to accumulate near the edge and always switches to be negative on the lefthand side (LHS) and positive on the right-hand side (RHS). Obviously, disorder is mechanism for generating spin accumulation. The reason can be found by exploring the continuity equation $\partial s_z(\mathbf{r}, t)/\partial t = -s_z(\mathbf{r}, t)/\tau - \nabla$. $\mathbf{J}_{z}(\mathbf{r},t) + \Gamma$, where τ is the effective diffusion time due to disorders, \mathbf{J}_z is the spin current and Γ is the torque due to the spin-orbit coupling. In our self-consistent solutions, we find $\Gamma / \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_z$ is around 10⁻². Therefore, in the steady state, one can safely ignore Γ and obtains $s_{\tau}(\mathbf{r}) = -\tau \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\tau}(\mathbf{r})$,



Fig. 1. Spin accumulation for different γ . Here $eV_1 = 50$, $eV_2 = 0$, and $k_0W = 3$ with $k_0 = 2m\alpha/\hbar^2$ and energy being in unit of $k_0\alpha$. Inset: solid line: $\gamma = 1$ and other parameters are the same, dash line: $\gamma = 0.01$, $eV_1 = 30$, $eV_2 = 0$, and $k_0W = 1$.



Fig. 2. Effects of ferromagnetic coupling on spin accumulation with the same parameters used in Fig. 1. Here J is in unit meV.

which implies that if J_z flows from right to left, $s_z(\mathbf{r})$ is negative on LHS and positive on RHS, in consistent with numerical results. Thus, the spindiffusion generates the spin accumulation that stops the spin current.

In addition to the spin diffusion, the spin-spin interaction, $J \sum \sigma_i \cdot \sigma_j$, further enhances the spin accumulation. Fig. 2 shows our numerical results based on the selfconsistent mean-field theory. Clearly, ferromagnetic coupling amplifies the oscillation of the spin density and increases the accumulation. For antiferromagnetic coupling, the oscillation has a shorter length scale because neighboring spins tend to be antiparallel. In conclusion, we have investigated the spin accumulation from the ballistic limit to the diffusive regime. The origin of the spin accumulation is clarified.

This research was supported by NSC of Taiwan.

References

- [1] I. Zutic, J. Fabian, S. Das Sarma, Rev. Mod. Phys. 76 (2004) 323.
- [2] S. Murakami, N. Nagaosa, S.C. Zhang, Science 01 (2003) 1348;
- S. Murakami, N. Nagaosa, S.C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 69 (2004) 235206.

- [3] J. Sinova, D. Culcer, Q. Niu, N.A. Sinitsyn, T. Jungwirth, A.H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 (2004) 126603.
- [4] J.E. Hirsch, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 1834.
- [5] Y.K. Kato, R.C. Myers, A.C. Gossard, D.D. Awschalom, Science 306 (2004) 1910;
- J. Wunderlich, B. Kaestner, J. Sinova, T. Jungwirth, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005) 047204.
- [6] G.D. Mahan, Many-particle physics, second ed., Plenum Press, New York, 1990, p. 264.